

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION 901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax www.TexasPolicy.com

Groundwater Rights

The Issue

Groundwater has long provided a major part of the Texas water supply. Undeveloped groundwater can help meet growing demand for water in Texas. Texas has two distinct legal systems governing water: groundwater and surface water. Surface water is owned by the state, which grants water rights to use specific volumes of water for beneficial uses. The Texas Water Code recognizes surface water rights issued in perpetuity as private rights that can be bought and sold.

In contrast, under Texas common law and statute, landowners hold a vested private property right in the groundwater beneath their land. Both the Texas Legislature and courts have recently reaffirmed this principle. Passed in the 82nd Legislature, SB 332 stated that "a landowner owns the groundwater below the surface of the landowner's land as real property." HB 4112, which passed in the 84th Legislature, strengthened groundwater ownership rights by codifying common law. Still, further work is needed to clarify whether the Texas Water Development Board's (TWDB) statutory authority to approve Desired Future Conditions (DFCs) set by Regional Groundwater Management Areas (GMAs) is consistent with the landowner's right to groundwater in place.

In *Edwards Aquifer Authority v. Day*, the Supreme Court held that the rule of capture is not inconsistent with ownership of groundwater in place. Citing the opinion in *Day*, the Court of Appeals in *Edwards Aquifer Authority v. Bragg* rejected the Authority's argument that its enabling legislation in 1993 gave the Braggs ownership over water and its permits, which they did not own before. Therefore, the Authority's denial of water permits to the Braggs for beneficial use in their pecan orchards rose to the level of a taking.

The landowner's property right in groundwater is often confused with the rule of capture. The rule of capture is corollary to the landowner's ownership right; it does not define the groundwater rights but explains the means by which a landowner may exercise the property right.

Like fee title ownership of land, "absolute" ownership of groundwater is subject to reasonable regulation. Since 1949, local Groundwater Conservation Districts (GCDs) have been the main regulator of groundwater in Texas. In 1995, the powers of GCDs were expanded to include pumping limits on wells and tract size, and in 2001, SB 2 enlarged GCD authority including preservation of historic uses and creation of Groundwater Management Areas (GMAs) based on regionally shared aquifers. In 2005, HB 1763 significantly enlarged the scope of groundwater regulation through provisions about DFCs of an aquifer and Managed Available Groundwater (MAGs) determined and overseen by the TWDB. The regulatory authority created expands the state's role in groundwater regulation and is being used to limit or deny groundwater permits at GCDs.

Although GCDs are recognized in law as the state's "preferred method of ground-water regulation" (TWC 36:0015), the system does not always function optimally. GCDs sometimes lack the resources and scientific expertise to make informed permitting and regulatory decisions. District boundaries are often based more on politics than hydrology, resulting in actions in one GCD that affect landowners outside the district boundaries. GCDs are exempt from many of the conflict of

interest rules applicable to other government officials and regulators. In some cases, GCDs have imposed moratoria on groundwater development.

With the *Day* decision, Texas courts have begun to recognize that excessive regulation of groundwater can amount to a taking of property for which compensation is owed under the Texas and U.S. Constitutions. Several features of the law governing GCDs make it difficult to mount a successful challenge to burdensome regulation. GCDs are not subject to the record keeping requirements of the state's Administrative Procedures Act, which can complicate judicial review. And if a landowner's challenge to GCD regulation fails in court, he must pay the GCD's attorneys' fees in addition to his own.

The 84th Legislature passed HB 200 that allows judicial appeal of DFCs made by GMAs. This legislation helps undo previously legislated water policy that obstructs effective, efficient, and appropriate use of water in Texas. Despite the obstacles presented by current groundwater law, challenges to GCD authority are increasing. One legislative session later, in 2017, SB 1009 passed to limit the list of items a GCD may require in a permit application in addition to what is already required by statute.

The Facts

- By 2070, water demand in Texas is projected to increase by 17%, while groundwater supplies are expected to decrease by 24% between 2020 and 2070.
- Texas has abundant groundwater resources: 9 major aquifers and 21 minor aquifers. Total groundwater supplies were approximately 8 million acre-feet in 2010.
- Total groundwater in Texas aquifers is estimated at 17.1 billion acre-feet.
- Texas has 100 local groundwater districts covering all or part of 177 counties.

Recommendations

- Remove legal impediments to the private development of new groundwater supplies and to proper functioning of water markets in Texas.
- Review the operations of Groundwater Conservation Districts and Groundwater Management Areas to see what progress has been made in securing proper groundwater regulation, and seek adjustments as needed.
- Reform the rules governing GCD record keeping and conflict of interest to promote greater uniformity of regulation.

Resources

2012 State Water Plan, Texas Water Development Board (Jan. 2012).

Edwards Aquifer Authority v. Day, 369 S.W.3d 814 (Tex. 2012).

Houston and Texas Centennial Railway Co. v. East, 98 Tex. 146, 81 S.W. 279 (1904).

<u>Solving the Texas Water Puzzle: Market Based Allocation of Water</u> by Ronald A. Kaiser, Texas Public Policy Foundation (March 2005).

Draft 2017 State Water Plan, Texas Water Development Board (March 2016).

Edwards Aquifer Authority v. Bragg, 421 S.W.3d 118, 124,137-38 (Tex. App. — San Antonio, 2013, pet. denied).

Experts

Kara Belew, Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

Derek Cohen, Ph.D., Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

The Hon. Chuck DeVore, VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

The Hon. Talmadge Heflin, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy* theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Innovation in Education* tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D., Director, Center for Families & Children blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

Bryan Mathew, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance* bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

2019-20 LEGISLATOR'S GUIDE TO THE ISSUES

Stephanie Matthews. VP of Public Affairs

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

Jennifer Minjarez, Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

Bill Peacock, VP of Research

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

Randy Petersen, Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

James Quintero, *Director, Center for Local Governance* jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., Executive Director

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

Emily Sass, Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

Deane Waldman, Ph.D., Director, Center for Health Care Policy dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

