

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION 901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax www.TexasPolicy.com

Endangered Species Act

The Issue

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) has long been known as the "pit bull" of federal environmental laws because of the inflexibility of how it attempts to protect species listed under the act, regardless of cost or impact on human activities. The law makes it a felony to "take" any species listed as endangered or threatened. The extremely broad interpretation of "take" includes activity to "harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any of these activities." The scope of a take finding extends to both intentional and non-intentional activity.

For decades, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) focused its implementation of the ESA on federal lands and thus had little impact on Texas. This has changed over the last 10 years as the FWS has lengthened its listing of protected species on private land and water resources.

After seven years of litigation regarding the federal protection of the endangered whooping crane and its impact on the state's authority to allocate surface water, the federal court exonerated Texas and upheld state authority. In this litigation known as *Aransas Project v. Shaw*, an environmental group sued the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) for the alleged take of a number of cranes. The Aransas Project claimed that TCEQ's past or future issuance of water rights to divert water from the Guadalupe and the San Antonio rivers caused the cranes' death. The federal district court's ruling against the TCEQ was overturned by the 5th Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals.

If the district court's decision had not been reversed, Texas' long-recognized authority to allocate surface water within its borders through the issuance of water rights would have taken a backseat to a conservation plan enforced by the federal government.

The number of areas in Texas affected by species listed as threatened or endangered continues to grow. Concern over the listed Houston toad impeded recovery after the Bastrop fires in 2011, perhaps doubling the cost and time involved. The discovery of a single endangered spider, the Braken Bat Cave meshweaver, immediately halted construction of the last 1,500 feet of a six-mile \$11 million pipeline to convey water to the west side of San Antonio. In 2012, the potential listing of the dunes sagebrush lizard threatened to shut down significant oil and gas operations in the Permian Basin of west Texas. In a rare decision, the FWS decided not to list the lizard because of the protectiveness of the existing voluntary conservation plans, a decision subsequently upheld by a federal court. In the summer of 2018, environmental activists have again petitioned to list the lizard.

Williamson County is now battling the FWS on constitutional grounds over the listing of the Bone Cave harvestman. This tiny eyeless arachnid is stalling development of crucial infrastructure in the county, and its taking could lead to \$50,000 in fines and one year in prison. Incidental take permits and other mitigation measures are exorbitant. Mitigation permits cost \$10,000 per acre to develop within 345 feet of a harvestman cave spider and 40 times more—\$400,000 per acre—within 35 feet. In November 2015, the Foundation's Center

for the American Future (CAF) filed a suit to delist the harvestman—a species existing only within Texas. CAF's suit questions the constitutional legitimacy of federal protection of exclusively intrastate species. In March 2018, the trial court held a final hearing on the merits with the order anticipated in the fall of 2018.

Texas freshwater mussel species have been a hot ESA topic since 2009. In February 2018, the Texas hornshell became the state's first mussel to be listed as a federally endangered species. There are 14 others that remain threatened at the state level, five of which (the golden orb, smooth pimpleback, Texas fatmucket, Texas fawnsfoot, and Texas pimpleback) are now candidate species under consideration for federal ESA listing. Listing of the mussels would lead to federal oversight of their aquatic habitats, which most likely involve federal mandates to augment environmental flows in many streams and rivers in central Texas. Dedicating this water to habitat conservation could significantly limit water supply available for human use.

The 83rd Texas Legislature passed HB 3509 to give the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) authority to help implement federal ESA programs. Ultimately vetoed by the governor, HB 3509 would have substantially expanded what has long been TPWD's limited authority over private land use.

The Facts

- Less than 2% of listed species have been removed from the ESA's endangered list in 40 years.
- The ESA's listing of the Delta Smelt fish forces the state of California to flush three million acre-feet of water intended for human use into the ocean instead.
- Texas' first mussel species, the Texas hornshell, has been federally listed as endangered under the ESA. It might be a foreshadowing for further listing decisions of 14 other freshwater mussel species to come.

Recommendations

- Texas should not use state programs used to protect species listed under the ESA that facilitate federal land use controls on private land.
- Texas should encourage proactive state, local, and private strategies to conserve wildlife by means of rigorous science and voluntary programs.
- Support the efforts of Texas congressional members to reform the ESA.
- Maintain current program to assist local government, land owners, and businesses in challenging ESA listings and habitat conservation plans.
- Do not use top-down, state-centralized programs for Texas' response to ESA listings.

continued

Endangered Species Act (cont.)

Resources

Fiscal Size-up: 2012-13 Biennium, Legislative Budget Board (Jan. 2012).

<u>Report, Findings and Recommendations, Endangered Species Act</u>, Congressional Working Group (Feb. 2014).

"Challenge to Endangered Species Act About Respect for Law" by Chance Weldon, *Austin American-Statesman* (March 28, 2016).

"The Tangled Web of the Commerce Clause" by Chance Weldon, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Dec. 17, 2015).

<u>Analysis of the Science: The Whooping Crane Decision</u> by Lee Wilson, Texas Public Policy Foundation (May 2013).

The Endangered Species Act: An Opportunity for Reform by The Honorable John Shadegg and Robert Gordon, Heritage Foundation (Aug. 2012).

GDF Realty Investments, Ltd. v. Norton, 326 F.3d 622 (5th Cir. 2003).

"Texas Nature Trackers: Texas Mussel Watch," Texas Parks & Wildlife (Accessed April 2018).

"All Federal and State Listed Animal and Plant Species," Texas Parks & Wildlife (Accessed April 2018).

Experts

Kara Belew, Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

Derek Cohen, Ph.D., Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

The Hon. Chuck DeVore, VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

The Hon. Talmadge Heflin, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy* theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Innovation in Education* tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D., Director, Center for Families & Children blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

Bryan Mathew, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance* bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

2019-20 LEGISLATOR'S GUIDE TO THE ISSUES

Stephanie Matthews. VP of Public Affairs

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

Jennifer Minjarez, Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

Bill Peacock, VP of Research

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

Randy Petersen, Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

James Quintero, *Director, Center for Local Governance* jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., Executive Director

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

Emily Sass, Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

Deane Waldman, Ph.D., Director, Center for Health Care Policy dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

