

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION

2019-20
**LEGISLATOR'S
GUIDE**
to the issues



TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY
FOUNDATION

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION
901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701
(512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax
www.TexasPolicy.com

Climate Policy

The Issue

Whether labeled global warming or climate change, the theory that man-made greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions will cause catastrophic warming is the justification for onerous climate policies that aim to limit or eliminate the use of fossil fuels: coal, natural gas, and oil. These policies have historically been institutionalized in Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations and in other actions across the federal government. The U.S. Congress, however, has repeatedly refused to delegate authority to federal agencies to control greenhouse gases—among which carbon dioxide (CO₂) is by far the dominant source.

CO₂ is a ubiquitous by-product of all human activity—from breathing to burning fossil fuels—that powers our cars and generates our electricity. CO₂ is also known as the “gas of life,” as it is vital for the most fundamental energy conversion on earth: photosynthesis. Although it may be possible that increased atmospheric concentration of CO₂ may generate some warming, it is not clear whether the warming would have a significant negative effect. To the contrary, hundreds of research studies demonstrate that increased CO₂ concentrations and some warming would create a net benefit for plant growth, upon which human life on earth depends. Paleoclimatology has long recognized past geological eras with vastly higher levels of CO₂. Science still lacks an understanding of how natural variables interact and affect climate—including the sun from which almost all energy in our climate system originates.

Much of the concern about climate change is based on unverified predictions of how slight increases in global temperatures will impact the climate through a “feedback loop.” The predictions from these “scientific climate models” have been proven wrong time and again. Even the U.N.’s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), in its *Fifth Assessment Report*, backpedaled from a number of alarmist conclusions it had drawn in previous reports, questioning the degree of assumed climate sensitivity to man-made emissions of CO₂ and recognizing that a link between rising carbon emissions and extreme weather events is not likely.

Claims that the science supporting predictions of catastrophic warming are absolutely settled beyond all question belie the theoretical weakness of current climate science. No genuine science is ever settled beyond any question. Increasing efforts to silence “climate skeptics” and their employers by criminal prosecution are a chilling reminder of how fiercely politicized climate science and policy have become.

Texas is disproportionately harmed by climate regulations both because the state has the largest energy sector in the country and it leads the shale revolution, which has unlocked the mother lode of oil and natural gas found in hard shale rock.

Government limits and control of CO₂ have not been authorized in law by Congress. In fact, efforts at “cap and trade” legislation to limit CO₂ were specifically rejected by Congress. Instead, under the Obama administration, the EPA attempted to regulate CO₂ and the electric grid through the Clean Power Plan. EPA’s rules to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, however, are futile. None of the rules would

reduce CO₂ by an amount that would avert the warming predicted by the IPCC. The CPP would have redesigned the nation's entire system of electric generation but reduced predicted warming by only 0.018 degree Celsius. Fortunately, the U.S. Supreme Court stayed EPA's Clean Power Plan, and, under President Trump, EPA has proposed repealing the Clean Power Plan.

Yet, without EPA interference, the U.S. has reduced CO₂ emissions more than other countries through efficiency and innovation. The Energy Information Administration (EIA) announced that energy-related emissions of CO₂ decreased 3.7% in 2012, the lowest emission level of CO₂ since 1994. Indeed, CO₂ emissions in the U.S. are falling faster than in countries under mandates such as the European Union's Emissions Trading System or in countries like Germany that have most aggressively pursued renewable energy.

In late March 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13653: Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth. This executive order directed relevant federal agencies to suspend, rescind, or repeal climate-related regulatory actions to include Obama's former Climate Action Plan, the Clean Power Plan, and the easily misused and so-called "Social Cost of Carbon." These deregulatory actions are now pending within the agencies or federal courts. On June 1, 2017, the president announced that the U.S. would withdraw from the Paris Climate Accord.

The Facts

- The use of fossil fuels has contributed to the longevity and quality of life for hundreds of millions of people. People live longer, have access to more affordable food and clean running water, more disposable income, and have good-paying jobs for themselves and their families because of our ability to use our abundant, reliable, and affordable domestic natural gas, oil, and coal.
- Eliminating fossil fuels without a fully comparable substitute risks energy scarcity that would increase poverty and stymie economic growth.
- Modern civilizations are utterly dependent on massive consumption of fossil fuels. Economic growth and increasing fossil fuel consumption rose in lockstep throughout the 20th century.
- Abundant, affordable, concentrated, versatile, reliable, portable, and storable—fossil fuels are far superior to any alternative energies at this point in time.
- America has abundant, reliable, and affordable supplies of natural gas, oil, and coal. We should use these domestic resources to benefit ourselves and our allies.

Recommendations

- Urge federal policymakers to conduct an independent, rigorous review of the U.S. Global Change Research Program's "Climate Science Special Report" (conducted by an inter-agency program), as well as the most current *Assessment Report* of the U.N.'s IPCC.
- Suspend state programs that require or incentivize GHG reduction.
- Seek legislative repeal by Congress of EPA's Endangerment Finding.

continued

Climate Policy (cont.)

Resources

[Statement to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the U.S. Senate](#) by Judith A. Curry (Jan. 16, 2014).

[Global Warming: How to Approach the Science: Testimony before the House Subcommittee on Science and Technology](#) by Richard S. Lindzen (Nov. 17, 2010).

Coalition for Responsible Regulation, et al. v. Environmental Protection Agency, No. 09-1322 (D.C. Cir. Filed 16 Feb. 2010).

[Global Warming: What Should Texas Do?](#) by Iain Murray, Texas Public Policy Foundation (April 2007).

[Review of the President's Climate Action Plan: Testimony before the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works](#) by Kathleen Hartnett White (Jan. 16, 2014).

Experts

Kara Belew, *Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education*
kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

Derek Cohen, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*
dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

The Hon. Chuck DeVore, *VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy*
cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist*
vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, *Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*
mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

The Hon. Talmadge Heflin, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy*
theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, *Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*
hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., *VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime*
mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Innovation in Education*
tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D., *Director, Center for Families & Children*
blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

Bryan Mathew, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance*
bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

Stephanie Matthews, VP of Public Affairs

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

Jennifer Minjarez, Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy

jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

Bill Peacock, VP of Research

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

Randy Petersen, Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime

rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

James Quintero, Director, Center for Local Governance

jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., Executive Director

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

Emily Sass, Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education

esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

Deane Waldman, Ph.D., Director, Center for Health Care Policy

dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment

khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit,
non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty,
personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and
the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and
the Texas public policy debate with academically
sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and
corporations, the Foundation does not accept government
funds or contributions to influence the
outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their
government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is
providing the ideas that enable policymakers
to chart that new course.



901 Congress Ave., Austin, Texas 78701 | 512.472.2700 phone 512.472.2728 fax
www.TexasPolicy.com