

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION 901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax www.TexasPolicy.com

## Clean Power Plan

## The Issue

In early February 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court granted a stay of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Clean Power Plan (CPP), freezing the rule's implementation until final review by the courts. In response to petitions from more than two dozen states and many industry groups, this was the Supreme Court's first stay of an administrative rule, reversing the D.C. Circuit's earlier denial.

The CPP, linchpin of the Obama administration's climate policy, is the most sweeping regulation in EPA's history. The rule carries a \$7.2 billion annual price tag. This is a conservative estimate, as total costs amount to over \$30 billion. The rule has evoked the ire of constitutional scholars who view it as a fundamental violation of the U.S. Constitution's provision on the separation of powers. The rule exceeds the legal authority that Congress delegated to the EPA through the Clean Air Act. In the CPP, the Obama-era EPA asserted the authority to federalize and overhaul the country's electric power system, long a prerogative of state authority.

According to the EPA's own calculations, the CPP's goal to cut  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  emissions from electric generation by 32% would result in a mere 0.018 degree Celsius reduction in the rate of warming predicted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)—an immeasurable change. The rule would impose on Texas one-fifth of the total national obligation to reduce  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ . The total volume of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  emissions that the EPA's rule intends to reduce by 2030 is emitted by China in less than two weeks.

The CPP's CO<sub>2</sub> standards would have forced fuel switching from coal to natural gas on a vast scale and also assumed a 150% increase in renewable sources that cannot provide reliable energy. The EPA's rule conveniently ignores the fact that Texas, at 14,000 megawatts (MW) of installed electric capacity, is already America's largest renewable energy generator. The CPP would have forced the state to increase its installed renewable capacity by 200%, an additional amount of wind and solar generation that is more than any other nation produces at present. The carbon cuts necessary to meet the final goals of the rule in 2030 would limit even natural gas-fired generating plants and force a massive expansion of renewables.

### The Facts

- The U.S. Congress has never explicitly delegated to EPA the authority to directly regulate human-induced emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- The previous EPA projected that the CPP rule would force the early closure of over 16,500 MW of coal-fired generation by 2020—roughly 15% of Texas' total 110 gigawatts of electric power.
- Texas joined 28 other states in a suit challenging the CPP.
- The CPP will cost at least \$7.2 billion annually to curb 32% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in exchange for a 0.018 degree Celsius change in global warming.
- The Supreme Court stayed the CPP until full judicial review is completed.
- The U.S. Congress disapproved the CPP under the Congressional Review Act.

President Trump's Executive Order 13783 ("Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth") directed EPA to review the CPP. On the basis of this review, finding that the CPP was unlawful, EPA proposed the repeal of the CPP in late December 2017. EPA also issued an Advanced Notice of Proposed Rule (ANPR) to replace the CPP with a lawful rule in late December 2017.

• The rules to repeal and to replace may be adopted in late summer 2018.

## <u>Recommendations</u>

- Texas should not expend any state resources in an effort to comply with EPA's CPP until full judicial review on the merits by the Supreme Court.
- Texas should learn from the grim lessons of European countries who aggressively rushed to renewable energy as a way to displace fossil-fueled electric generation.
- Any rule to replace the CPP must apply control measures to the source of emissions "inside the fence."

## Resources

"Texas Savors Court's Clean Power Plan Ruling" by Mark Lisheron, Watchdog.org (Feb. 18, 2016).

Red Tape Rising 2016: Obama Regs Top \$100 Billion Annually, by James L. Gattuso and Diane Katz, Heritage Foundation (May 2016).

<u>The Many Problems of the EPA's Clean Power Plan and Climate Regulations: A Primer</u>, by Nicolas Loris, Heritage Foundation (July 2015).

*The Facts About the Clean Power Plan* by Kathleen Hartnett White, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Jan. 2016).

*EPA as Overlord of U.S. Electric Power* by Kathleen Hartnett White, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Oct. 2014).

EPA's Final 111(d) Rule (a.k.a. the "Clean Power Plan") – Impact on Energy Council States by Mike Nasi, Jackson Waler L.L.P.

<u>EPA's Proposed 111(d) Rule for Existing Power Plants: Legal and Cost Issues</u>, Testimony of Laurence H. Tribe to the U.S. House Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Energy and Power (March 2015).

# **Experts**

**Kara Belew,** Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

**Derek Cohen, Ph.D.,** Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

**The Hon. Chuck DeVore,** VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

**The Hon. Talmadge Heflin,** *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy* theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

**Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D.,** *Director, Center for Innovation in Education* tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

**Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D.,** Director, Center for Families & Children blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

**Bryan Mathew,** *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance* bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

#### 2019-20 LEGISLATOR'S GUIDE TO THE ISSUES

### **Stephanie Matthews.** VP of Public Affairs

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

**Jennifer Minjarez,** Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

## Bill Peacock, VP of Research

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

**Randy Petersen,** Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

**James Quintero,** *Director, Center for Local Governance* jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

### Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., Executive Director

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

**Emily Sass,** Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

**Deane Waldman, Ph.D.,** Director, Center for Health Care Policy dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

