

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION

2019-20
**LEGISLATOR'S
GUIDE**
to the issues



TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY
FOUNDATION

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION
901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701
(512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax
www.TexasPolicy.com

Eliminating the School M&O Property Tax

The Issue

Texas has some of the highest property taxes in the nation. In 2014, the Tax Foundation reported that Texas had the sixth highest effective property tax rate. In 2016, more than 5,100 local tax jurisdictions levied \$56.1 billion in property taxes, or \$2,000 on average for every Texan—man, woman, and child. And property taxes continue to increase. The overall property tax levy increased by 233% between 1996 and 2006, while personal income only increased by 199%. Texans risk losing their house—and sometimes do—because of an out-of-control growth of local governments. An excessive growth of government also discourages investment, job creation, and economic growth in general.

Out of the total property tax levy (from all local governments), school districts' maintenance and operations (M&O) property tax revenues represented almost half of the burden to taxpayers in 2016. The M&O tax levy amounted to nearly \$25 billion in 2018. Although the state has explored options to reduce the overall property tax burden, one obstacle has remained: The Texas Constitution prohibits the state from levying a property tax.

Nonetheless, multiple legislatures explored different options. One of them was raising the homestead exemption for school district property by \$10,000, first in 1997 and again in 2015. These exemptions may have benefited those with a homestead but not those without. The overall property tax burden also continued to increase.

In 2006, the Legislature tried to reduce property taxes and increase state funding for education by increasing the Texas franchise tax, the motor vehicle sales tax, and taxes on tobacco products. The goal was to use the additional revenue, combined with changes in the school funding formulas and property tax caps to both bring the school finance system into compliance with the Texas Constitution (the Texas Supreme Court had ruled the system unconstitutional in 2005) and provide relief to property taxpayers. This solution failed to bring effective, broad-based, and long-term relief to Texans.

A different approach could bring relief to property taxpayers and limit government growth. This approach encourages state and local governments to exercise fiscal restraint through tax and expenditure limits to progressively eliminate the M&O tax while reducing the growth of government. The state surplus created could be used to replace the M&O tax.

Using the past rate of growth (10.08%) of general revenue-related (GRR) state revenue, Texans could eliminate district-level education M&O taxes and cut property taxes almost in half in as little as 11 years. This can be accomplished by restraining state spending growth to 4% biennially and using 90% of the surplus state revenue this produces to ratchet down local property tax rates. Under this plan, every dollar not spent by the state or school districts would produce a 90-cent property tax cut for Texans.

Within the 4% limit on GRR appropriations growth, the Legislature could appropriate money for any purposes legally available, including education funding. If circumstances required for the plan to be adjusted—e.g., due to lower-than-expected economic growth, or a natural disaster that would require additional

spending—the Legislature could exceed the appropriations limits and/or reduce the property tax replacement surplus by a majority vote of both houses. If the property tax replacement payment was reduced during certain years, the replacement plan would be extended but would continue until full elimination of the M&O property tax.

At the local level, each year school districts would set their M&O tax rate to reduce property tax revenue by the same amount they received from the state's replacement funding. On average, property taxpayers in districts across the state would see the same percent reduction in their taxes, though that might vary from one district to another. At the end, every taxpayer's M&O property tax burden would be equal to zero.

If school districts wanted to exceed the replacement rate, they could do it with the approval of a majority of voters in an election with at least a 20% turnout. However, additional funds raised through a voter-approved tax increase would be fully recaptured by the state. So all increases in education funding each year under the plan would come from the state.

The Facts

- Texas has one of the highest property tax burdens in the nation. The Tax Foundation ranked the state's effective property tax rate sixth highest in 2014.
- Texas school districts' M&O property tax accounts for almost half of the overall property tax burden, representing nearly \$25 billion in 2018.
- Only local governments in Texas can levy a property tax. The Texas Constitution prohibits the state from levying the tax.
- Past attempts by the Legislature to provide relief to property taxpayers by increasing the homestead exemption or by increasing other taxes have failed.
- The overall property tax levy increased by 233% between 1996 and 2006. Personal income increased by only 199%.

Recommendations

- Restrain state spending growth by imposing a limit of 4% on GRR appropriations growth.
- Restrain local government spending growth by imposing a limit of 2.5% of property tax revenue growth.
- Use the surplus generated by the new state spending restraint to progressively replace and eliminate the M&O property tax, while school districts progressively decrease their M&O property tax rate by the replacement rate.

Resources

[*Abolishing the "Robin Hood" School Property Tax*](#) by Kara Belew, Emily Sass, and Bill Peacock, Texas Public Policy Foundation (June 2018).

Experts

Kara Belew, *Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education*
kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

Derek Cohen, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*
dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

The Hon. Chuck DeVore, *VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy*
cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist*
vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, *Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*
mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

The Hon. Talmadge Heflin, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy*
theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, *Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*
hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., *VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime*
mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Innovation in Education*
tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D., *Director, Center for Families & Children*
blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

Bryan Mathew, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance*
bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

Stephanie Matthews, *VP of Public Affairs*

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

Jennifer Minjarez, *Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy*

jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

Bill Peacock, *VP of Research*

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

Randy Petersen, *Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*

rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

James Quintero, *Director, Center for Local Governance*

jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., *Executive Director*

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

Emily Sass, *Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education*

esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

Deane Waldman, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Health Care Policy*

dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, *Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment*

khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit,
non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty,
personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and
the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and
the Texas public policy debate with academically
sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and
corporations, the Foundation does not accept government
funds or contributions to influence the
outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their
government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is
providing the ideas that enable policymakers
to chart that new course.



901 Congress Ave., Austin, Texas 78701 | 512.472.2700 phone 512.472.2728 fax
www.TexasPolicy.com