

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION 901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax www.TexasPolicy.com

Gambling

The Issue

t has often been suggested that Texas expand state-controlled gambling to increase state revenue in order to address the funding priorities *du jour*. For instance, one group suggested that gambling is a good way to "generat[e] more tax revenue for the state" in order to "rectify the anticipated budget imbalance." However, turning to gambling for more government revenue is wrong on several counts.

First, raising revenue to keep up with calls for increased spending is not the right answer. Instead, Texas should restrain government spending at a level to keep it within available revenue. This approach of "living within one's means" is simple and very similar to what most Texas families practice every day.

Second, whether the increased revenue comes from expanding an existing tax like the margins tax, from instituting a new tax like a tax on gambling, or from expanded economic growth, the result is the same: more government and more government spending. And the bigger the government and the more government spends, the more it can regulate. We will not have a "wise and frugal Government," or liberty, if our default is to squeeze every penny we can out of the economy.

Third, a significant body of research has shown that gambling expansion does not increase state revenues to the level suggested by proponents. As the Foundation noted in a 2005 study:

The economic impacts of gambling have been examined by a large body of national and international research; however, the research findings are mixed. While there is general agreement that gambling can provide large state revenues and that there are socioeconomic costs attached to these revenues, researchers disagree about the dollar value assigned to these costs and whether the net fiscal impact is positive or negative. . . .

Costs associated with gambling include: (1) a reduction of approximately 10 percent in state lottery revenues; (2) an investment of approximately 10 percent of revenues in regulatory costs for gambling; (3) criminal justice costs underwriting an 8 to 13 percent increase in crime; (4) lost state and local revenue resulting from diversion of spending from goods and services to gambling; and (5) lost jobs resulting from decreased spending on non-gambling goods and services. . . .

According to some research, the economic impact of gambling is positive—however, most of these studies acknowledge limited or no calculation of costs.... Other research, however, indicates the economic costs associated with gambling cancel out the revenues with net-zero financial gains or result in an overall financial loss at the end of the day. For example, research conducted by Florida's Office of Planning and Budgeting concluded in 1994 that Florida would experience a significant deficit if the state expanded gambling; although tax revenues were projected to reach almost \$500 million annually, gambling costs were projected to total at least \$2 billion annually.

Finally, past experience has proven that allowing gambling can result in what is known as "regulatory capture." The Texas Racing Commission is a perfect example.

In 2014, in an effort to help the horse racing industry, the commission allowed historical racing at racetracks in the Lone Star State, bypassing the Legislature which has the sole authority to allow new forms of gambling. Members of the Texas Legislature challenged the commission's authority to authorize historical racing, while a state district judge ruled that it did not. The commission, filled largely with members from or sympathetic to the racing industry, persisted. It was not until the Texas governor replaced some members of the commission that it eventually repealed the rule in 2016.

The Texas Model (i.e., low spending and taxes; a predictable, low level of regulation and strong property rights protection; a sound civil justice system; and minimal dependence on/interference from the federal government) has helped make Texas the nation's leader in job creation over the last decade. It has also helped us successfully meet past budget shortfalls without increasing taxes on hardworking Texans.

Rather than turn to gambling, or other sources, for new revenue, Texas should live within its means through reducing wasteful or unnecessary government spending.

The Facts

- Researchers have found that the economic costs associated with gambling cancel
 out the revenues with net-zero financial gains or result in an overall financial loss.
- Costs associated with gambling include:
 - reduction of state lottery revenues,
 - · increased regulatory costs for gambling,
 - criminal justice spending to counter an 8% to 13% increase in crime,
 - lost state and local revenue resulting from diversion of spending from goods and services to gambling, and
 - lost jobs resulting from decreased spending on non-gambling goods/services.

Recommendations

- Do not expand or further legalize gambling in Texas.
- To address any potential budget shortfalls, Texas policymakers should reduce wasteful or unnecessary government spending.

Resources

<u>VLTs — What Are The Odds Of Texas Winning?</u> by Chris Patterson, Texas Public Policy Foundation (March 2005).

Gambling in America: Costs and Benefits by Professor Earl L. Grinols, Cambridge University Press (Dec. 2009).

Gambling Economics: Summary Facts by Professor Earl L. Grinols, Baylor University (Nov. 2004).

<u>Racing Commission Should Abandon Effort to Legalize "Historical Racing" Slot Machines</u> by Bill Peacock, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Aug. 2014).

Experts

Kara Belew, Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

Derek Cohen, Ph.D., Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

The Hon. Chuck DeVore, VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

The Hon. Talmadge Heflin, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy* theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Innovation in Education* tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D., Director, Center for Families & Children blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

Bryan Mathew, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance* bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

2019-20 LEGISLATOR'S GUIDE TO THE ISSUES

Stephanie Matthews. VP of Public Affairs

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

Jennifer Minjarez, Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

Bill Peacock, VP of Research

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

Randy Petersen, Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

James Quintero, *Director, Center for Local Governance* jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., Executive Director

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

Emily Sass, Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

Deane Waldman, Ph.D., Director, Center for Health Care Policy dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

