

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION

2019-20  
**LEGISLATOR'S  
GUIDE**  
to the issues



**TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY**  
FOUNDATION

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION  
901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax  
[www.TexasPolicy.com](http://www.TexasPolicy.com)

# Property Rights and the Texas Courts

## The Issue

In the wake of the 2005 *Kelo v. New London* decision, Texas courts have made significant headway in the direction of protecting property rights, and correcting weaknesses in the protection thereof.

For example, in *Laws v. Texas*, a couple sought to prove that a tract of land condemned by the state was, in fact, capable of being divided into several self-sustainable economic subunits, whose value collectively was greater than the value viewed in the greater unit by the state. The Supreme Court, examining this situation, agreed that the Lawses, and by extension anyone else whose land is under government scrutiny, could provide evidence in court that their property is more valuable than the state estimates. The courts still make final decisions, but the state cannot constrain evidence in such proceedings.

In another important case, the city of Dallas declared Heather Stewart's long-vacant home a public nuisance, demolished it, and refused to pay compensation, due to its prior declaration. However, the courts determined that she was, in fact, due compensation, because the condemnation was based only on facts presented by the city exercising its taking powers. The Supreme Court determined that the "protection of property rights...cannot be charged to the same people who seek to take those rights away."

In another case, the Supreme Court continued to re-emphasize the importance of private rights to property over supposed public interest. In *Texas Rice Land Partners v. Denbury*, Denbury received permission from the Railroad Commission to claim land for a CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline as a common carrier, and argued that such permission precluded a court case. However, the Supreme Court disagreed, saying that, in fact, just "checking the right boxes" to become a common carrier doesn't provide protection from suits to determine if the use is public rather than private.

More recently, the Texas Supreme Court issued its decision in *Severance v. Patterson*, in which the state of Texas was claiming that a rolling easement to beach access can eliminate a property owner's right to use her own property in the case of a rapid erosion event, such as a hurricane.

However, the Supreme Court determined there was simply no evidence in the record of an easement by prescription or dedication on such land, nor has the public had a "continuous right" to use it. Based on this, the Court ruled (twice) that while the public has acquired the right to access many beaches over time, it does not suddenly acquire the right to access private property that becomes the beach because of a major storm. Unfortunately, the Texas Legislature changed the law in 2013 to reduce the protection of property rights under *Severance*. This might ultimately lead to another lawsuit in time.

A more recent ongoing property rights action by the courts is less positive to date. A trial court and an appellate court have both upheld the city of Rowlett's taking of a driveway across a retail development to improve customer access to a grocery store on a neighboring tract. This was despite the fact that the

neighboring developer refused to pay market value for the access but did pay most of the city's condemnation costs, all in an attempt to increase the value of the lease from its tenant and reduce the cost of acquiring access. The case, *KMS Retail v. City of Rowlett*, is currently pending before the Texas Supreme Court.

There is still much more to be done in the sphere of property rights. However, these decisions help protect those rights from executive and legislative abuse of takings powers, and the discussion of these rights and the threats to them—such as takings powers and taxation—is essential for moving our state and country forward economically.

### The Facts

- Property rights are essential for economic prosperity and development.
- The Supreme Court of Texas has made many strides of late in protecting property rights from abuse by executive agencies and legislative acts, and has turned away from strict deference to the Legislature.

### Recommendations

- Amend statute to shift the burden of proof in all property rights cases from the land owner to the condemnor.
- Reduce judicial deference to the decisions of executive agencies and local governments.
- Restore the constitutional right to both own and use property. Current case law, as held by the Texas Supreme Court, says, "Property owners do not acquire a constitutionally protected vested right in property uses."

---

### Resources

*Amicus Letter in Support of Texas Property Rights* by Kathleen Hunker, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Oct. 2014).

[\*Senate Bill 18: Presumption\*](#) by Ryan Brannan and Bill Peacock, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Feb. 2011).

[\*Amicus Brief in Beach Access Case\*](#) by Vikrant P. Reddy, Texas Public Policy Foundation (June 2011).

[\*Property Rights in Texas: Heading in the Right Direction\*](#) by Bill Peacock, Texas Public Policy Foundation (Oct. 2011).

[\*Amicus Brief in KMS Retail v. City of Rowlett\*](#) by Bill Peacock and Robert Henneke, Texas Public Policy Foundation (March 2018).



## Experts

**Kara Belew**, *Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education*  
kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

**Derek Cohen, Ph.D.**, *Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*  
dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

**The Hon. Chuck DeVore**, *VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy*  
cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

**Vance Ginn, Ph.D.**, *Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist*  
vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

**Michael Haugen**, *Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*  
mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

**The Hon. Talmadge Heflin**, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy*  
theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

**Haley Holik**, *Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*  
hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

**Marc Levin, Esq.**, *VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime*  
mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

**Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D.**, *Director, Center for Innovation in Education*  
tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

**Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D.**, *Director, Center for Families & Children*  
blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

**Bryan Mathew**, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance*  
bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

**Stephanie Matthews**, *VP of Public Affairs*

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

**Jennifer Minjarez**, *Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy*

jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

**Bill Peacock**, *VP of Research*

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

**Randy Petersen**, *Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime*

rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

**James Quintero**, *Director, Center for Local Governance*

jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

**Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D.**, *Executive Director*

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

**Emily Sass**, *Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education*

esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

**Deane Waldman, Ph.D.**, *Director, Center for Health Care Policy*

dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

**The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White**, *Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment*

khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit,  
non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty,  
personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and  
the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and  
the Texas public policy debate with academically  
sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and  
corporations, the Foundation does not accept government  
funds or contributions to influence the  
outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their  
government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is  
providing the ideas that enable policymakers  
to chart that new course.



901 Congress Ave., Austin, Texas 78701 | 512.472.2700 phone 512.472.2728 fax  
[www.TexasPolicy.com](http://www.TexasPolicy.com)