

Copyright ©2018 by the Texas Public Policy Foundation

Permission to reprint in whole or in part is hereby granted, provided the Texas Public Policy Foundation and the author are properly cited.

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

TEXAS PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION 901 Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701 (512) 472-2700 Phone (512) 472-2728 Fax www.TexasPolicy.com

Pretrial Justice and Indigent Defense

The Issue

There are some one million annual bookings into Texas jails. Texas counties face significant expenses associated with pretrial detainees, who account for 60% of county jail inmates. Additionally, for any offense that carries the potential of jail time, such as possession of the smallest amounts of marijuana, counties are constitutionally required to bear the cost of providing counsel for the indigent.

Several programs that aim to reduce the jail population while protecting—or enhancing—public safety have been implemented in Texas.

A pilot program proposed by the Texas Public Policy Foundation in 2009 and subsequently funded by the Texas Indigent Defense Commission allows indigent defendants in Comal County to choose their attorney among a list of qualified counsel maintained by the county. This consumer choice model provides greater fidelity in the attorney-client relationship rather than having the judge, who works for the government, appoint the counsel. An independent published evaluation found that this program has improved client satisfaction.

Diversion program models such as the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) program in Seattle, the 24-hour crisis center for the mentally ill in San Antonio, and the First Chance Intervention Program in Houston have proven that empowering police to divert appropriate individuals without bringing them to jail can not only save taxpayers millions of dollars on jail costs, but also lead to greater public safety. Research has found that, as each 24 hours goes by in jail, a person is more likely to lose their job, family, and home, and in the case of mentally ill individuals, to decompensate.

Finally, counties across the state are inconsistent when it comes to promptly assessing pretrial defendants' risk level and mental health status as well as expeditiously providing counsel. These steps are vital to ensuring that costly jail space is prioritized. Prompt administration of an actuarial risk assessment is the equivalent of a prompt diagnosis by a doctor and is essential to making an informed decision about whether someone should be released prior to trial, and if so what, if any, conditions are necessary. Similarly, representation is essential, as pretrial detainees are ill-equipped to challenge the amount at which bail has been set, which may not take into account factors, such as strong community ties indicating they pose a low risk of flight.

The Facts

- As of March 2018, there were 66,108 individuals in county jails, of which 35,375 were pretrial defendants. Jails are among the largest items in county budgets—Harris County spends more than \$170 million each year on its jail while Dallas County spends in excess of \$110 million.
- Approximately 30% of Texas county jail inmates are receiving mental health services.
- On an annual basis, attorneys are provided in about 460,000 cases to indigent defendants at a cost of \$238 million.

Recommendations

- Expand voucher pilot program for indigent representation.
- Enhance use of police diversion. Police diversion efforts can be strengthened by enhancing Texas' cite and summons law. Passed in 2007, it ensures prosecutors treat these cases similarly to those in which a custodial arrest is made and creates a presumption that the authority should be exercised unless the officer determines the person is a danger to public safety or a flight risk.
- Ensure rapid assessment and provision of counsel for pretrial defendants and create presumption of release for defendants for whom an assessment does not indicate a high risk to public safety. The Legislature should ensure that pretrial defendants are promptly assessed and that those who do not pose a significant danger to public safety do not remain in jail at taxpayer expense simply because of excessive bail amounts.

Resources

Improving Indigent Defense in Texas by Vikrant Reddy, Texas Public Policy Foundation (July 2012).

<u>Bringing Balance to Pretrial Proceedings: Solutions for Early Representation of Indigent Defendants</u> by Marc Levin, Texas Public Policy Foundation (April 2015).

<u>Public Safety and Cost Control Solutions for Texas County Jails</u> by Marc Levin, Texas Public Policy Foundation (March 2012).

<u>Open Roads and Overflowing Jails: Addressing High Rates of Rural Pretrial Incarceration</u> by Marc Levin and Michael Haugen, Texas Public Policy Foundation (May 2018).

Experts

Kara Belew, Senior Education Policy Advisor, Center for Innovation in Education kbelew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget, Taxes, Public Education Finance and Policy, Public Education Accountability

Derek Cohen, Ph.D., Director, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime dcohen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice Reform, Victims' Rights, Overcriminalization, Constitutional Limitations on Corrections

The Hon. Chuck DeVore, VP of National Initiatives; Senior Fellow for Fiscal Policy cdevore@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Tax and Fiscal Policy, Elections, Foreign Affairs, Military Affairs, Energy and Environmental Policy

Vance Ginn, Ph.D., Director, Center for Economic Prosperity; Senior Economist vginn@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Tax Reform, National and State Labor Market Trends, Tax and Expenditure Limits, Energy Markets and Policy

Michael Haugen, Policy Analyst, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime mhaugen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Civil Forfeiture, Overcriminalization, Substance Abuse Policy

The Hon. Talmadge Heflin, *Director, Center for Fiscal Policy* theflin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: State Budget and Taxation, Economic Stabilization Fund, Local Government Spending, Pension Reform, Federal Funds

Haley Holik, Attorney, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime hholik@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Juvenile Justice, Grand Jury Reform, Constitutional Limitations on Search and Seizure, Overcriminalization

Marc Levin, Esq., VP of Criminal Justice and Right on Crime mlevin@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Adult Corrections, Juvenile Justice, Overcriminalization, Victim Empowerment and Restitution, Law Enforcement, School Discipline

Thomas Lindsay, Ph.D., *Director, Center for Innovation in Education* tlindsay@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Higher Education, Culture Wars (Political correctness, cultural decline, etc.), America's Founding Principles, Online Learning, Federalism, Tenth Amendment, Interstate Compacts

Brandon J. Logan, Ph. D., Director, Center for Families & Children blogan@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Child Welfare Policy, Foster Care, Adoption, Family Law, Parental Rights

Bryan Mathew, *Policy Analyst, Center for Local Governance* bmathew@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Local Economic Regulation, Local Economic Development, Municipal Annexation, Housing Affordability, Property Rights, Special Districts

2019-20 LEGISLATOR'S GUIDE TO THE ISSUES

Stephanie Matthews. VP of Public Affairs

smatthews@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Workforce Development, Charter Schools, School Choice, Virtual Learning

Jennifer Minjarez, Policy Analyst, Center for Health Care Policy jminjarez@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Medicaid Reform, Mid-Level Providers, Medical and Dental Licensure Reform

Bill Peacock, VP of Research

bpeacock@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Electricity Markets and Renewable Energy, Insurance, Technology and Telecommunications, Tort Reform, Property Rights, Economic Development, Consumer Issues

Randy Petersen, Senior Researcher, Center for Effective Justice and Right on Crime rpetersen@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Policing Policy, Diversion Programs, Civil Asset Forfeiture

James Quintero, *Director, Center for Local Governance* jquintero@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Budgets, State and Local Spending, Debt, Taxes, Transparency, Pensions

Kevin D. Roberts, Ph.D., Executive Director

kroberts@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education Growth, Increasing Public School Efficiency, Education Choice, Higher Education, Tenth Amendment

Emily Sass, Policy Analyst, Center for Innovation in Education esass@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: K-12 Education, Education Choice, School Finance, Civic Education, Charter Schools

Deane Waldman, Ph.D., Director, Center for Health Care Policy dwaldman@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Health Care, Medicaid, Telemedicine, Scope of Practice, Regulatory Issues

The Hon. Kathleen Hartnett White, Distinguished Senior Fellow-in-Residence; Director, Center for Energy & the Environment khwhite@texaspolicy.com AREAS OF EXPERTISE: EPA Regulation, Energy and Environmental Policy, Free Market Environmental Policies, Endangered Species Act, Water Rights

The Texas Public Policy Foundation is a 501(c)3 non-profit, non-partisan research institute.

The Foundation's mission is to promote and defend liberty, personal responsibility, and free enterprise in Texas and the nation by educating and affecting policymakers and the Texas public policy debate with academically sound research and outreach.

Funded by thousands of individuals, foundations, and corporations, the Foundation does not accept government funds or contributions to influence the outcomes of its research.

The public is demanding a different direction for their government, and the Texas Public Policy Foundation is providing the ideas that enable policymakers to chart that new course.

